

LETTERS: The Californian, May 1, 2009

By Readers of The Californian | Friday, May 1, 2009 12:11 AM PDT [∞](#)

Why is intent not apparent?

In response to Fred Bartz's question ([Letters, April 7](#)): "Conservation-hating environmentalists" means exactly that ---- environmentalists who live in their fanciful environment and despise the need for conservation.

Without Liberty Quarry, Temecula will be forced to pollute the air and congest the freeways by trucking sand and gravel from other areas. The conservation-hating environmentalists hate the idea of the needed water reservoir that will be there when the quarry is finished and hope to acquire its quarry land at taxpayer expense for their animal bridge across the freeway.

Their bridge will result in bobcats and mountain lions feeding on the coyotes and small children of Temecula. Will SOS accept responsibility for the safety of these children? Think again about who got it wrong April 7. I did, and I still came to the same conclusions.

Jim Welker

Fallbrook